



City Presentation Rubric

0	1	2	3	4	5
No Points Requirements missing.	Poor Poor-fair quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements.	Fair Fair-average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements.	Good Average quality. Fulfills at least 85% of requirements.	Very Good Above average quality. Fulfills 95% of requirements.	Excellent Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements with additional distinctive features.

I. Content & Delivery (35 Points)	0	1	2	3	4	5
1. Overall presentation content <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Major elements: intro, body, and conclusion Logical flow and transitions Supporting details 	Disorganized and no major elements addressed.	Poorly organized and missing major elements. Few supporting details.	Fair organization. Contains most major elements. Some details and transitions but ideas could be more developed.	Contains all major elements and good transitions. Details could be clearer.	Well organized and contains all major elements. Very good supporting details.	Extremely well organized. Effective variety of supporting details. Overall presentation is thoroughly developed.
2. Overall presentation delivery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear and audible Confident and creative Onscreen balance of people and visual aids 	Unclear and inaudible. Delivered with no confidence or creativity.	Not very creative. Needs more practice. Poor balance of student presenters and visual aids.	Somewhat creative and confident. Poor balance of presenters and visual aids.	Creative and confident. Good balance of student presenters and visual aids.	Very creative and confident delivery by most of team. Good balance of student presenters and visual aids.	Extremely creative and confident delivery by entire team. Good balance of student presenters and visual aids.
3. City overview & daily life <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Location Description of residents and daily life Recreation Why do people want to live in your city? 	No description.	Underdeveloped overview does not provide basic information.	Fair overview. Lacks sufficient details.	Good overview supported by sufficient details.	Very good overview supported by many details.	Excellent overview supported by a variety of thorough details.
4. Infrastructure & services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Futuristic city features and infrastructure Innovative city services (could include education, healthcare, fire, etc.) 	No description.	Underdeveloped description. Lacks detail about infrastructure and services.	Fair description. Some details about infrastructure and services. Not very futuristic or innovative.	Good description. Many details about infrastructure and services. Somewhat futuristic.	Very good description. Many details about infrastructure and services. Futuristic.	Highly detailed and thorough description of infrastructure and services. Very futuristic.
5. Circular economy solution <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designing out waste and pollution Keeping products and materials in use Regenerating natural systems 	No description.	Briefly mentions solution but offers little detail.	Fair explanation of solution but lacks necessary detail.	Good description of solution. Supporting details could be improved.	Very good description of solution. Many supporting details.	Excellent description of solution with variety of thorough, supporting details.



DOWNLOAD THIS RUBRIC from the Resources section of the Educator Dashboard at FutureCity.org.

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

City Presentation Rubric

0	1	2	3	4	5
No Points Requirements missing.	Poor Poor-fair quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements.	Fair Fair-average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements.	Good Average quality. Fulfills at least 85% of requirements.	Very Good Above average quality. Fulfills 95% of requirements.	Excellent Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements with additional distinctive features.

Appendix:
Deliverables
City
Presentation

I. Content & Delivery (35 Points) (Continued)	0	1	2	3	4	5
6. Use of demonstration aids <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use of model or photographs of model Additional visual aids (if used) are well-prepared, legible, and relevant Enhance rather than distract from presentation 	Model not referenced. No other visual aids.	Model is not used effectively. Other demonstration aids poor or nonexistent.	Model is partially effective at enhancing the presentation. Other visual aids are fair to good.	Good use of the model as an illustration of city design and function. Other visual aids are effective and generally add to presentation.	Model used effectively to illustrate city design, function and innovations. Other visual aids are very good and enhance the presentation.	Extremely creative, integrated use of model contributed to the understanding of city design and function and innovations. Other visual aids are excellent.
7. Teamwork <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Team members supported each other Team members shared time equally 	No evidence of teamwork.	A small amount of collaboration among team members but more support of one another is needed; one or two tend to dominate.	Some collaboration, support and sharing among some team members. Amount of knowledge appears unequal. One or two tend to dominate.	Good collaboration, support and sharing among most members. Some have more knowledge and dominate.	Very good collaboration, support and sharing among the team. Equivalent knowledge level for most of team.	Excellent collaboration, support, and sharing among team members. All members display thorough knowledge.
II. Engineering and Technology (15 Points)	0	1	2	3	4	5
8. Engineering design process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses the application of the engineering design process to their project 	No discussion.	Little or no discussion of engineering design process.	Underdeveloped discussion of engineering design process.	Good discussion of engineering design process and how they applied it.	Very good discussion and understanding of engineering process and application to Future City project.	Excellent discussion and understanding of engineering design process and application to Future City project.
9. Engineering and roles <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates a knowledge of engineering roles in city design and operation 	No mention of engineering roles.	Mentions engineering, but little discussion of roles.	Demonstrates limited knowledge and understanding of engineering and roles.	Demonstrates good knowledge and understanding of engineering and roles.	Demonstrates very good knowledge and understanding of engineering and roles.	Demonstrates excellent and thorough knowledge and understanding of engineering and roles.
10. Risks, tradeoffs, & compromises <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discusses potential risks and benefits Analyzes tradeoffs 	No mention of risks or tradeoffs.	Little mention of risks, benefits, or tradeoffs.	Some discussion of risks, benefits or tradeoffs.	Good analysis of risks, benefits, and tradeoffs.	Very good analysis of risks, benefits, and the tradeoffs made.	Excellent and thorough analysis of risks, benefits, and tradeoffs.